

EXHIBIT C

ATLANTA
CHARLOTTE
CHERRY HILL
CHICAGO
DALLAS
DENVER
LAS VEGAS
LONDON
LOS ANGELES

COZEN
O'CONNOR
ATTORNEYS

NEW YORK
NEWARK
SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO
SEATTLE
TRENTON
WASHINGTON, DC
WEST CONSHOHOCKEN
WICHITA
WILMINGTON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508 215.665.2000 800.523.2900 215.665.2013 FAX www.cozen.com

May 10, 2004

VIA FACSIMILE

Mark T. Mullen
Direct Phone 215.665.2091
Direct Fax 215.665.2013
mmullen@cozen.com

Michael J. Guzman, Esquire
Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd & Evans, P.L.L.C.
Sumner Square
1615 M. Street, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Re: *In Re: Terrorist Attack on September 11, 2001 MDL No. 1570; Federal Insurance Company, et al. v. Al-Qaeda, et al., Case No. 03-CV 6978*
Our File No.: 117430

Dear Mike:

In light of Judge Casey's Order scheduling a status conference on May 25, 2004, wherein we expect his honor to address issues relating to discovery generally in the consolidated actions and in particular in our Federal Insurance Company action, we agreed to withdraw without prejudice the subpoenas served on Riggs National Bank, N.A. that request records relating to your clients, the Royal Embassy of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, HRH Prince Bandar bin Sultan bin Abdulaziz, HRH Princess Haifa Al-Faisal, Ahmed A. Kattan, and Abdul Rahman I. Al-Noah. As you are aware, when Mr. Hansen of your office originally requested, through Judge Casey, that we withdraw the subpoenas, the Court had not yet scheduled the status conference where the parties will be able to present their respective arguments concerning the proper timing and scope of discovery in MDL No. 1570. We believe the proper forum in which to raise the merits of those issues is with Judge Casey rather than a federal district judge in the jurisdiction where we are compelled to issue the subpoenas from pursuant to Rule 45.

We will file a reply memorandum to your motion in the miscellaneous action you have filed in the United States District for the District of Columbia to advise the court that the motion to quash is moot as a consequence.

Michael J. Guzman, Esquire
May 10, 2004
Page 2

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

COZEN O'CONNOR



BY: MARK T. MULLEN

MTM/lmj

cc: Ms. Kathy Hays, Custodian of Records (Via Federal Express)
Matthew Harrington, Esquire (Via Federal Express)